



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
D1W 05-04

Paper No. 16

TIMOTHY N. TROP
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 KATY FWY, STE 100
HOUSTON TX 77024-1805

COPY MAILED

JUN 02 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Acharya et al. :
Application No. 09/722,988 : ON PETITION
Filed: 27 November, 2000 :
Atty Dcket No. INTL-0514-US (P9822) :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ filed on 17 May, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 27 March, 2004, for failure to timely file a new Appeal Brief in triplicate as required by the Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) mailed on

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

26 February, 2004, which set a one (1) month shortened period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 6 May, 2004.

The petition is accompanied by a copy of the Appeal Brief in triplicate.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2600 for review of applicants' Appeal Brief.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 703-308-6918.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions